

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –80)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,285 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-80 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM	2	19-00100	Autauga County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	19-60305	The City of Pompano Beach, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLS	0	19-60313	The City of Miramar, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLS	0	19-60364	City of Coconut Creek, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	4	19-00811	International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 150 et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 2/20/19
KENTUCKY EASTERN			
KYE	0	19-00014	City of Grayson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al
KYE	2	19-00007	City of Florence v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
KYE	6	19-00038	Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	19-01048	Golden et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
LAE	2	19-01174	Kenner City v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
LOUISIANA WESTERN			
LAW	5	19-00153	Parish of DeSoto v. Purdue Pharma L P et al

MD	8	19-00336	County Commissioners of Charles County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
----	---	----------	--

MICHIGAN WESTERN

MIW	1	19-00094	Dawsey v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
-----	---	----------	------------------------------------

MONTANA

MT	4	19-00008	City of Great Falls et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
----	---	----------	---

OHIO SOUTHERN

OHS	2	19-00448	Enders v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
-----	---	----------	------------------------------------

OKLAHOMA WESTERN

OKW	5	19-00096	Cheyenne & Arapaho Tribes v. McKesson Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	---

PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE

PAM	3	19-00190	City of Nanticoke, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
-----	---	----------	---

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS	5	19-00084	Greenbrier County Commission v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	--

WYOMING

WY	1	19-00024	Casper WY v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
----	---	----------	-------------------------------------